arXiv:1403.0301v2 [math.PR] 14 Jan 2016

J Theor Probab (2015) 28:1337-1353

Moment Determinacy of Powers and Products of Nonnegative Random Variables

Gwo Dong Lin[1] • **Jordan Stoyanov**[2]

[1] Institute of Statistical Science, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan (ROC)
e-mail: gdlin@stat.sinica.edu.tw

[2] School of Mathematics & Statistics, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
e-mail: stoyanovj@gmail.com

Abstract: We find conditions which guarantee moment (in)determinacy of powers and products of nonnegative random variables. We establish new and general results which are based either on the rate of growth of the moments of a random variable or on conditions about the distribution itself. For the class of generalized gamma random variables we show that the power and the product of such variables share the same moment determinacy property. A similar statement holds for half-logistic random variables. Besides answering new questions in this area, we either extend some previously known results or provide new and transparent proofs of existing results.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 60E05, 44A60

Keywords Stieltjes moment problem, powers, products, Carleman's condition, Cramér's condition, Hardy's condition, Krein's condition, generalized gamma distribution, half-logistic distribution

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper we assume that ξ is a nonnegative random variable defined on a given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ with finite moments $\mathbf{E}[\xi^k],\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let further $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ be independent copies of ξ. Here $n \geq 1$ is a fixed integer number. Of interest to us are the following two random variables, the power and the product:

$$X_n = \xi^n \ \text{ and } \ Y_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n.$$

Each of the variables X_n and Y_n also has all moments finite. Thus the natural problem arising here is to study, characterize and compare the moment (in)determinacy of these two variables. Since X_n and Y_n take values in $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$, this means that we deal with the Stieltjes moment problem.

We find conditions on ξ and n guaranteeing that X_n and Y_n are M-determinate (uniquely determined by the moments), and other conditions when they are M-indeterminate (nonunique in terms of the moments). In these two cases we use the abbreviations M-det and M-indet for both random variables and their distributions.

In our reasonings we use classical or new conditions such as Cramér's condition, Carleman's condition, Hardy's condition and Krein's condition. The reader may find it useful to consult available sources, among them are [10], [12], [17], [19], [22], [23] and [24]. For reader's convenience, we have included these conditions when formulating our results.

To study powers, products, etc., or other nonlinear transformations of random data (called sometimes Box-Cox transformations), is a challenging probabilistic problem which is of independent interest. Note however that products and powers of random variables considered in this paper and the results established are definitely related to contemporary stochastic models of real and complex phenomena; see, e.g., [3], [5], [7] and [20].

In this paper we deal with new problems and present new results with their proofs. We establish new and general criteria which are then applied to describe the moment (in)determinacy of the above transformations. We also provide new proofs of some known results with reference to the original papers. Our results complement previous studies or represent different aspects of existing studies on this topic; see, e.g., [2], [4], [11], [16], [20] and [22].

The approach and the results in this paper can be further extended to distributions on the whole real line (Hamburger moment problem, see [25]). Also, they can be used to characterize the moment determinacy properties of nonlinear transformations of some important sub-classes of distributions such as, e.g., the subexponential distributions; see [6].

The material is divided into relatively short sections each dealing with a specific question related to a general or specific distribution. General results are included in Sections 2, 4, 6, 7 and 9. Sections 3, 5, 8 and 10 deal with powers and products based on the generalized gamma distribution while Section 11 is based on half-logistic distribution. All statements are followed by detailed proofs.

2. Comparing the moment determinacy of powers and products

The power $X_n = \xi^n$ and the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ have some 'similarity'. They both are defined in terms of n and ξ or of n independent copies of ξ, and both have all moments finite. Thus we arrive naturally to the question:

Is it true that the random variables X_n and Y_n share the same moment determinacy property?

If the generic random variable ξ has a bounded support, then so does each of X_n and Y_n, and hence both X_n and Y_n have all moments finite and both are M-det. This simple observation shows that interesting is to study powers and products

based on a random variable ξ with unbounded support contained in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and such that ξ has all moments finite.

Let us mention first a special case. Suppose $\xi \sim Exp(1)$, the standard exponential distribution. Then the power $X_n = \xi^n$ is M-det iff the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ is M-det and this is true iff $n \leq 2$ (see, e.g., [2] and [16]). This means that for any $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, the power X_n and the product Y_n share the same moment determinacy property. Since Weibull random variable is just a power of the exponential one, it follows that if ξ obeys a Weibull distribution, then for any $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, the power X_n and the product Y_n also have the same moment determinacy property. Therefore, the answer to the above question is positive for at least some special distributions including Weibull distributions. In this paper we will explore more distributions (see Theorem 6 and Section 11 below).

Note that in general, we have, by Lyapunov's inequality,

$$\mathbf{E}[X_n^s] = \mathbf{E}[\xi^{ns}] \geq (\mathbf{E}[\xi^s])^n = \mathbf{E}[Y_n^s] \text{ for all real } s > 0. \tag{1}$$

We use this moment inequality to establish a result which involves three of the most famous conditions for moment determinacy (Carleman's, Cramér's and Hardy's). For more details about Hardy's condition, see [24].

Proposition 1 (i) *If X_n satisfies Carleman's condition (and hence is M-det), i.e., $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{E}[X_n^k])^{-1/(2k)} = \infty$, then so does Y_n.*
(ii) *If X_n satisfies Cramér's condition (and hence is M-det), i.e., $\mathbf{E}[\exp(cX_n)] < \infty$ for some constant $c > 0$, then so does Y_n.*
(iii) *If X_n satisfies Hardy's condition (and hence is M-det), i.e., $\mathbf{E}[\exp(c\sqrt{X_n})] < \infty$ for some constant $c > 0$, then so does Y_n.*

Proof Part (i) follows immediately from (1). Parts (ii) and (iii) follow from the fact that for each real $s > 0$,

$$\mathbf{E}[\exp(cX_n^s)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{c^k}{k!}\mathbf{E}[(X_n^s)^k] \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{c^k}{k!}\mathbf{E}[(Y_n^s)^k] = \mathbf{E}[\exp(cY_n^s)].$$

□

Corollary 1 *If ξ satisfies Cramér's condition and if $n = 2$, then both $X_2 = \xi^2$ and $Y_2 = \xi_1\xi_2$ are M-det, and hence X_2 and Y_2 share the same moment determinacy property.*

Proof Note that ξ satisfies Cramér's condition iff X_2 satisfies Hardy's condition. Then by Proposition 1(iii), both X_2 and Y_2 are M-det as claimed above. □

3. Generalized gamma distributions. Part (a)

Some of our results can be well illustrated if we assume that the generic random variable ξ has a generalized gamma distribution. We write $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if ξ

has the following density function f:

$$f(x) = cx^{\gamma-1}e^{-\alpha x^\beta},\ x \geq 0,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$, $f(0) = 0$ if $\gamma \neq 1$, and $c = \beta\alpha^{\gamma/\beta}/\Gamma(\gamma/\beta)$ is the norming constant. Note that $GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a rich class containing several commonly used distributions such as exponential, Weibull, half-normal and chi-square.

It is known that the power $X_n = \xi^n$ is M-det iff $n \leq 2\beta$ (see, e.g., [18] and [23]). We claim now that for $n \leq 2\beta$, the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ is also M-det. To see this, we note first that the density function h_n of the random variable $\sqrt{X_n}$ is

$$h_n(z) = \frac{2c}{n}z^{2\gamma/n-1}e^{-\alpha z^{2\beta/n}},\ z \geq 0.$$

This in turn implies that X_n satisfies Hardy's condition if $2\beta/n \geq 1$, hence so does Y_n for $n \leq 2\beta$ by Proposition 1(iii).

To obtain further results, it is quite useful to write the explicit form of the density of the product $Y_2 = \xi_1\xi_2$ when ξ has the generalized gamma distribution. This involves the function $K_0(x)$, $x > 0$, the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Its definition and approximation are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty t^{-1}e^{-t-x^2/(4t)}dt, \quad x > 0, \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)^{1/2} e^{-x}\left[1 - \frac{1}{8x}\left(1 - \frac{9}{16x}\left(1 - \frac{25}{24x}\right)\right) + o(x^{-3})\right] \quad \text{as } x \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

(see, e.g., [8] and [13], pp. 37–38).

Lemma 1 (See also [14]) *Let $Y_2 = \xi_1\xi_2$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent random variables having the same distribution $GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the density function g_2 of Y_2 is*

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(x) &= \frac{2c^2}{\beta}x^{\gamma-1}K_0\left(2\alpha x^{\beta/2}\right), \quad x > 0, \\ &\approx Cx^{\gamma-\beta/4-1}e^{-2\alpha x^{\beta/2}}, \quad \textit{as } x \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof (Method I) Let G_2 be the distribution function of Y_2. Then

$$\overline{G}_2(x) := 1 - G_2(x) = \mathbf{P}[Y_2 > x] = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}[\xi_1 > x/y]cy^{\gamma-1}e^{-\alpha y^\beta}dy, \quad x > 0,$$

and hence the density of Y_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(x) &= c^2x^{\gamma-1}\int_0^\infty y^{-1}e^{-\alpha x^\beta/y^\beta - \alpha y^\beta}dy = \frac{c^2}{\beta}x^{\gamma-1}\int_0^\infty t^{-1}e^{-t-(\alpha^2x^\beta)/t}dt \\ &= \frac{2c^2}{\beta}x^{\gamma-1}K_0\left(2\alpha x^{\beta/2}\right), \quad x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Method II) We can use the moment function (or Mellin transform) usually denoted by $\mathcal{M}$, because it uniquely determines the corresponding distribution. To do this, we note that

$$\mathcal{M}(s) =: \mathbf{E}[Y_2^s] = (\mathbf{E}[\xi_1^s])^2, \quad \mathbf{E}[\xi_1^s] = c\Gamma((\gamma+s)/\beta)\left(\beta\alpha^{(\gamma+s)/\beta}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_0^\infty x^s K_0(x)dx = 2^{s-1}(\Gamma((s+1)/2))^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad s > 0$$

(see, e.g., [9], p. 676, Formula 6.561(16)). We omit the detailed calculation. □

It may look surprising, but it is well-known, that several commonly used distributions are related to the Bessel function in such a natural way as in Lemma 1. For example, if ξ is a half-normal random variable, i.e., $\xi \sim GG(\frac{1}{2}, 2, 1)$ with the density $f(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi}e^{-x^2/2}$, $x \geq 0$, then Y_2 has the density function $g_2(x) = (2/\pi)K_0(x) \approx C_2 x^{-1/2}e^{-x}$ as $x \to \infty$, with the moment function $\mathcal{M}(s) = \mathbf{E}[Y_2^s] = (2^s/\pi)\Gamma^2((s+1)/2)$, $s > -1$. The distribution of $Y_2 = \xi_1\xi_2$ may be called the half-Bessel distribution and its symmetric counterpart with density $h_2(x) = (1/\pi)K_0(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, is called the standard Bessel distribution. Note that K_0 is an even function and h_2 happens to be the density of the product of two independent standard normal random variables; see also [4]. It can be checked that for real $s > 0$ we have $(\mathbf{E}[(Y_2^s)^n])^{-1/(2n)} \approx C_s n^{-s/2}$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence Y_2^s satisfies Carleman's condition iff $s \leq 2$. Actually, it follows from the density g_2 and its asymptotic behavior that Y_2 satisfies Cramér's condition. Therefore, by Hardy's criterion, the square of Y_2, i.e., $Y_2^2 = \xi_1^2\xi_2^2$, is M-det.

Let us express the latter by words: The square of the product of two independent half-normal random variables is M-det. Since $\xi^2 = \chi_1^2$, we conclude also that the product of two independent χ^2-distributed random variables is M-det. In addition, these properties can be compared with the known fact that the power 4 of a normal random variable is M-det (see, e.g, [1] or [22]).

4. Slow growth rate of the moments implies moment determinacy

It is known and well understood that the moment determinacy of a distribution depends on the rate of growth of the moments. Let us establish first results which are of a general and independent interest. Later we will apply them and make conclusions about powers and products of random variables.

Suppose X is a nonnegative random variable with finite moments $m_k = \mathbf{E}[X^k]$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. To avoid trivial cases, we assume that $m_1 > 0$, meaning that X is not a degenerate random variable at 0.

Lemma 2 *For each $k \geq 1$, we have the following properties:*
(i) $m_k \leq m_{k+1}$ *if* $m_1 \geq 1$, *and*
(ii) $m_1 m_k \leq m_{k+1}$.

Proof By Lyapunov's inequality, we have $(m_k)^{1/k} \le (m_{k+1})^{1/(k+1)}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{k}\log m_k \le \frac{1}{k+1}\log m_{k+1} \le \frac{1}{k}\log m_{k+1}, \text{ if } m_1 \ge 1,$$

and hence $m_k \le m_{k+1}$ if $m_1 \ge 1$. This proves claim (i).
To prove claim (ii), we use the relations $m_1 \le (m_k)^{1/k} \le (m_{k+1})^{1/(k+1)}$, implying that

$$m_1 m_k \le (m_k)^{1/k} m_k = m_k^{(k+1)/k} \le m_{k+1}.$$

□

In Lemma 2, claim (i) tells us that the moment sequence $\{m_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is nondecreasing if $m_1 \ge 1$, while claim (ii) shows that the ratio m_{k+1}/m_k has a lower bound m_1 whatever the nonnegative random variable X is. The next theorem provides the upper bound of the ratio m_{k+1}/m_k, or, equivalently, of the growth rate of the moments m_k for which X is M-det.

Theorem 1 *Let $m_{k+1}/m_k = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^2)$ as $k \to \infty$. Then X satisfies Carleman's condition and is M-det. (We refer to the constant 2, the exponent in the term $\mathcal{O}((k+1)^2)$, as the rate of growth of the moments of X.)*

Proof By the assumption, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$m_k^{(k+1)/k} \le m_{k+1} \le C(k+1)^2 m_k \text{ for all large } k,$$

which implies

$$m_k^{1/k} \le C(k+1)^2 \text{ for all large } k,$$

and hence

$$m_k^{-1/(2k)} \ge C^{-1/2}(k+1)^{-1} \text{ for large } k.$$

Therefore, X satisfies Carleman's condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1/(2k)} = \infty$, and is M-det. □

We can slightly extend Theorem 1 as follows. For a real number a we denote by $\lfloor a \rfloor$ the largest integer which is less than or equal to a.

Theorem 1′ *Suppose there is a real number $a \ge 1$ such that the moments of the random variable X satisfy the condition $m_{k+1}/m_k = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2/a})$ as $k \to \infty$. Then the power $X^{\lfloor a \rfloor}$ satisfies Carleman's condition and is M-det.*

Proof Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbf{E}[(X^{\lfloor a \rfloor})^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[(X^{\lfloor a \rfloor})^k]} &= \frac{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k+\lfloor a \rfloor}]}{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k+\lfloor a \rfloor-1}]}\frac{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k+\lfloor a \rfloor-1}]}{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k+\lfloor a \rfloor-2}]}\cdots\frac{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[X^{\lfloor a \rfloor k}]} \\ &= \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{(2/a)\lfloor a \rfloor}) = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^2) \text{ as } k \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, $X^{\lfloor a \rfloor}$ satisfies Carleman's condition and is M-det. □

Theorem 2 *Let $\xi,\ \xi_i,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, be defined as before and $Y_n = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n$. If ξ and the index n are such that*

$$\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2/n}) \quad as\ k \to \infty,$$

then Y_n satisfies Carleman's condition and is M-det.

Proof By the assumption, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_n^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[Y_n^k] = (\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k])^n = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^2) \ \text{ as } \ k \to \infty.$$

This, according to Theorem 1, implies the validity of Carleman's condition for Y_n, hence Y_n is M-det as stated above. □

Theorem 2′ *Let $a \geq 1$. If*

$$\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2/a}) \quad as\ k \to \infty,$$

then $Y_{\lfloor a \rfloor}$ satisfies Carleman's condition and is M-det.

Proof Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}[Y_{\lfloor a \rfloor}^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[Y_{\lfloor a \rfloor}^k] = (\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k])^{\lfloor a \rfloor} \\ = \ & \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{(2/a)\lfloor a \rfloor}) = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^2) \ \text{ as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusions follow from Theorem 1. □

5. Generalized gamma distributions. Part (b)

We now apply the general results, Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 4, to give an alternative proof of the moment determinacy established in Section 3.

Let, as before, $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. We claim that for $n \leq 2\beta$, both $X_n = \xi^n$ and $Y_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$ are M-det. To see this, we first calculate that

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[X_n^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[X_n^k]} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[\xi^{n(k+1)}]}{\mathbf{E}[\xi^{nk}]} = \frac{\Gamma((\gamma + n(k+1))/\beta)}{\alpha^{n/\beta}\Gamma((\gamma + nk)/\beta)} \approx (n/\alpha\beta)^{n/\beta}(k+1)^{n/\beta} \ \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

For this relation we have used the approximation of the gamma function:

$$\Gamma(x) \approx \sqrt{2\pi} x^{x-1/2} e^{-x} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty$$

(see, e.g., [26], p. 253). Then by Theorem 1, X_n is M-det if $n \leq 2\beta$, and by Theorem 2, Y_n is M-det if $1/\beta \leq 2/n$, i.e., if $n \leq 2\beta$, because $\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{1/\beta})$ as $k \to \infty$.

For example, if $\xi \sim Exp(1) = GG(1, 1, 1)$, then the product $Y_2 = \xi_1 \xi_2$ is M-det. In fact, by Lemma 1, the density g_2 of Y_2 is $g_2(x) = 2K_0(2\sqrt{x}) \approx Cx^{-1/4}e^{-2\sqrt{x}}$ as $x \to \infty$, where K_0 is the modified Bessel function of the second kind (see also

[15], p. 417, and [9], p. 917, Formula 8.432(8)). If $\xi \sim GG(1/2, 2, 1)$, the half-normal distribution, then $Y_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$ is M-det for $n \le 4$. As mentioned before, the density function of the product of two half-normals is $g_2(x) = (2/\pi)K_0(x) \approx C_2 x^{-1/2} e^{-x}$ as $x \to \infty$.

6. More results related to Theorems 1 and 2

Under the same assumption as that in Theorem 1, we even have a stronger statement; see Theorem 3 below. Note that its proof does not use Lyapunov's inequality, and that Hardy's condition implies Carleman's condition. For convenience, we recall in the next lemma a characterization of Hardy's condition in terms of the moments (see [24], Theorem 3).

Lemma 3 *Let $a \in (0, 1]$ and let X be a nonnegative random variable. Then $\mathbf{E}[\exp(cX^a)] < \infty$ for some constant $c > 0$ iff $\mathbf{E}[X^k] \le c_0^k \, \Gamma(k/a + 1)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, for some constant $c_0 > 0$ (independent of k). In particular, X satisfies Hardy's condition, i.e., $\mathbf{E}[\exp(c\sqrt{X})] < \infty$ for some constant $c > 0$, iff $\mathbf{E}[X^k] \le c_0^k \, (2k)!$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, for some constant $c_0 > 0$ (independent of k).*

Theorem 3 *Suppose X is a nonnegative random variable with finite moments $m_k = \mathbf{E}[X^k]$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that the condition in Theorem 1 holds: $m_{k+1}/m_k = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^2)$ as $k \to \infty$. Then X satisfies Hardy's condition, and is M-det.*

Proof By the assumption, there exists a constant $c_* \ge m_1 > 0$ such that

$$m_{k+1} \le c_* (k+1)^2 m_k \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where $m_0 \equiv 1$. This implies that

$$m_{k+1} \le (c_*/2)(2k+2)(2k+1) m_k \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and hence $m_{k+1} \le (c_*/2)^{k+1} \Gamma(2k+3) m_0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Taking $c_0 = c_*/2$,

$$m_{k+1} \le c_0^{k+1} \Gamma(2k+3) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

or, equivalently,

$$m_k \le c_0^k \Gamma(2k+1) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Hence X satisfies Hardy's condition by Lemma 3. □

Remark 1 The constant 2 (the growth rate of the moments) in the condition of Theorem 1 is the best possible in the following sense. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a random variable X such that $m_{k+1}/m_k = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2+\varepsilon})$ as $k \to \infty$, and X is M-indet. To see this, let us consider $X = \xi \sim GG(1, \beta, 1)$, which has density $f(x) = c \exp(-x^\beta)$, $x > 0$. We have

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[\xi^k]} = \frac{\Gamma((k+2)/\beta)}{\Gamma((k+1)/\beta)} \approx \beta^{-1/\beta} (k+1)^{1/\beta} \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

If for $\varepsilon > 0$ we take $\beta = \frac{1}{2+\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2+\varepsilon})$ as $k \to \infty$. However, as mentioned before, X is M-indet.

Remark 2 The constant $2/n$ in the condition of Theorem 2 is the best possible. Indeed, we can show that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a random variable ξ such that $\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}((k+1)^{2/n+\varepsilon})$ as $k \to \infty$, but Y_n is M-indet. To see this, let us consider $X = \xi \sim GG(1, \beta, 1)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, take $\beta = 1/(2/n + \varepsilon)$, then

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[\xi^k]} = \frac{\Gamma((k+2)/\beta)}{\Gamma((k+1)/\beta)} = \mathcal{O}\left((k+1)^{2/n+\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

However, since $n > 2\beta$, Y_n is M-indet (compare this with the statement in Section 10).

7. Faster growth rate of the moments implies moment indeterminacy

We now establish a result which is converse to Theorem 1.

Theorem 4 *Suppose X is a nonnegative random variables whose moments m_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, are such that $m_{k+1}/m_k \geq C(k+1)^{2+\varepsilon}$ for all large k, where C and ε are positive constants. Assume further that X has a density f satisfying the condition: for some $x_0 > 0$, f is positive and differentiable on $[x_0, \infty)$ and*

$$L_f(x) := -\frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} \nearrow \infty \quad as \quad x_0 < x \to \infty. \tag{2}$$

Then X is M-indet.

Proof Without loss of generality we can assume that $m_{k+1}/m_k \geq C(k+1)^{2+\varepsilon}$ for each $k \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$m_{k+1} \geq C^k((k+1)!)^{2+\varepsilon} m_1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Taking $C_0 = \min\{C, m_1\}$, we have

$$m_{k+1} \geq C_0^{k+1}((k+1)!)^{2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

or, equivalently,

$$m_k \geq C_0^k(k!)^{2+\varepsilon} = C_0^k(\Gamma(k+1))^{2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

Since $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x) \approx \sqrt{2\pi}\, x^{x+1/2}\, e^{-x}$ as $x \to \infty$, we have that for some constant $c > 0$,

$$m_k^{-1/(2k)} \leq C_0^{-1/2}(\Gamma(k+1))^{-(2+\varepsilon)/(2k)} \approx ck^{-1-\varepsilon/2} \quad \text{for all large } k.$$

This implies that the Carleman quantity for the moments of f is finite:

$$\mathbf{C}[f] := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1/(2k)} < \infty.$$

We sketch the rest of the proof. Following the proof of Theorem 3 in [10], we first construct a symmetric distribution G on $\mathbb{R}$, obeyed by a random variable Y, such that $\mathbf{E}[Y^{2k}] = \mathbf{E}[X^k]$, $\mathbf{E}[Y^{2k-1}] = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let g be the density of G. Then for the Carleman quantity of the moments of g we have:

$$\mathbf{C}[g] := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{E}[Y^{2k}]\right)^{-1/(2k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{E}[X^k]\right)^{-1/(2k)} = \mathbf{C}[f] < \infty.$$

This implies that for some $x_0^* > x_0$, the logarithmic normalized integral (called also Krein quantity of g) over the domain $\{x : |x| \geq x_0^*\}$ is finite:

$$\mathbf{K}[g] := \int_{|x| \geq x_0^*} \frac{-\log g(x)}{1+x^2} dx < \infty,$$

as shown in the proof of Theorem 2 in [10]. Finally, according to Theorem 2.2 in [19], this is a sufficient condition for Y to be M-indet on $\mathbb{R}$ and we conclude that X is M-indet on $\mathbb{R}^+$ by mimicking the proof of Corollary 1 in [21] (see also [17], Proposition 1 and Theorem 3). □

8. Generalized gamma distributions. Part (c)

Let us see how Theorem 4 in Section 7 works for a random variable $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. We claim that for $n > 2\beta$, the power $X_n = \xi^n$ is M-indet. To see this, recall that

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[X_n^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[X_n^k]} \approx (n/\alpha\beta)^{n/\beta}(k+1)^{n/\beta} \quad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

where $n/\beta > 2$. Thus the moments of X_n grow at a rate more than 2. Let us check that the density h of X_n satisfies the condition (2). Indeed, we have

$$L_h(x) := -\frac{xh'(x)}{h(x)} = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{n} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{n} x^{\beta/n} \nearrow \infty \quad \text{ultimately as } x \to \infty.$$

Therefore, for $n > 2\beta$, X_n is M-indet by Theorem 4.

Remark 3 To use Theorem 4 is another way to prove some known facts, for example, that the log-normal distribution and the cube of the exponential distribution are M-indet. Indeed, for $X \sim LogN(0, 1)$, we have the moment recurrence

$$m_{k+1} = e^{k+1/2} m_k, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and for $X = \xi^3$, where $\xi \sim Exp(1)$, we have

$$m_{k+1} = (3k+1)(3k+2)(3k+3)m_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

It is easily seen that in both cases the growth rates of the moments are quite fast. For the cube of $Exp(1)$ we have $m_{k+1}/m_k \geq C(k+1)^3,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$, for some constant $C > 0$, so the rate is more than 2. For $LogN$ the rate is exponential, hence much larger than 2. It remains to check that condition (2) is satisfied for the density of ξ^3 and the density of $LogN$. Details are omitted.

We can make one step more by considering the logarithmic skew-normal distributions with density $f_\lambda(x) = (2/x)\varphi(\ln x)\Phi(\lambda \ln x),\ \ x > 0$, where λ is a real number. (When $\lambda = 0$, f_λ reduces to the standard log-normal density.) Then we have the moment relationship

$$m_{k+1} \approx e^{(k+1/2)\rho} m_k,\ \ \text{as}\ \ k \to \infty,$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1]$ is a constant (see, e.g., [12], Proposition 3). Thus the moments grow very fast, exponentially, and it remains to check that the density function f_λ satisfies the condition (2):

$$L_{f_\lambda}(x) := -\frac{x f'_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} \nearrow \infty\ \ \text{ultimately as}\ \ x \to \infty.$$

Therefore, by the above Theorem 4, we conclude that all logarithmic skew-normal distributions are M-indet. This is one of the results in [12] where a different proof is given.

9. General result on the M-indet property of the product $Y_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$

In the next theorem we describe conditions on the distribution of ξ under which the product $Y_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$ is M-indet.

Theorem 5 *Let $\xi \sim F$, where F is absolutely continuous with density $f > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Assume further that:*
(i) *$f(x)$ is decreasing in $x \geq 0$, and*
(ii) *there exist two constants $x_0 \geq 1$ and $A > 0$ such that*

$$f(x)/\overline{F}(x) \geq A/x\ \ \textit{for}\ \ x \geq x_0, \tag{3}$$

and some constants $B > 0,\ \alpha > 0,\ \beta > 0$ and a real γ such that

$$\overline{F}(x) \geq B x^\gamma e^{-\alpha x^\beta}\ \ \textit{for}\ \ x \geq x_0. \tag{4}$$

Then, for $n > 2\beta$, the product Y_n has a finite Krein quantity and is M-indet.

Corollary 2 *Let $\xi \sim F$ satisfy the conditions in Theorem 5 with $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$. Then F itself is M-indet.*

Lemma 4 *Under the condition* (3), *we have*

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du \geq \frac{A}{1+A}\frac{\overline{F}(x)}{x} \quad \textit{and} \quad \overline{F}(x) \leq \frac{C}{x^A},\ x > x_0,\ \textit{for some constant } C > 0.$$

Proof Note that for $x > x_0$,

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du = -\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{u}d\overline{F}(u) = \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{x} - \int_x^\infty \frac{\overline{F}(u)}{u^2}du \geq \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{x} - \frac{1}{A}\int_x^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du.$$

The last inequality is due to (3). Hence

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{A}\right)\int_x^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du \geq \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{x}.$$

On the other hand, for $x > x_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\log \overline{F}(x) &= -\int_0^x f(t)/\overline{F}(t)dt = -\int_0^{x_0} f(t)/\overline{F}(t)dt - \int_{x_0}^x f(t)/\overline{F}(t)dt \\ &\equiv C_0 - \int_{x_0}^x f(t)/\overline{F}(t)dt \leq C_0 - \int_{x_0}^x A/t dt = C_0 + A\log x_0 - A\log x.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\overline{F}(x) \leq C/x^A,\ x > x_0$, where $C = x_0^A e^{C_0}$. □

Remark 4 After deriving in Lemma 4 a lower bound for $\int_x^\infty (f(u)/u)du$ we have the following upper bound for arbitrary density f on $\mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du \leq \frac{1}{x}\int_x^\infty f(u)du = \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{x},\ x > 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 5 The density g_n of Y_n is expressed as follows:

$$g_n(x) = \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\cdots\int_0^\infty \frac{f(u_1)}{u_1}\frac{f(u_2)}{u_2}\cdots\frac{f(u_{n-1})}{u_{n-1}} f\left(\frac{x}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}}\right)du_1du_2\cdots du_{n-1}$$

for $x > 0$. Hence $g_n(x) > 0$ and decreases in $x \in (0,\infty)$. For any $a > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}g_n(x) &\geq \int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty\cdots\int_a^\infty \frac{f(u_1)}{u_1}\frac{f(u_2)}{u_2}\cdots\frac{f(u_{n-1})}{u_{n-1}} f\left(\frac{x}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}}\right)du_1du_2\cdots du_{n-1} \\ &\geq \int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty\cdots\int_a^\infty \frac{f(u_1)}{u_1}\frac{f(u_2)}{u_2}\cdots\frac{f(u_{n-1})}{u_{n-1}} f\left(\frac{x}{a^{n-1}}\right)du_1du_2\cdots du_{n-1} \\ &= f\left(\frac{x}{a^{n-1}}\right)\left(\int_a^\infty \frac{f(u)}{u}du\right)^{n-1}, \quad x > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The above second inequality follows from the monotone property of f. Taking $a = x^{1/n} > x_0$, we have, by (3)–(5) and Lemma 4, that

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &\geq f\left(x^{1/n}\right)\left(\int_{x^{1/n}}^{\infty}\frac{f(u)}{u}du\right)^{n-1} \geq f\left(x^{1/n}\right)\left(\frac{A}{1+A}\frac{\overline{F}(x^{1/n})}{x^{1/n}}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{A}{1+A}\right)^{n-1} x^{-(1-1/n)}\frac{f\left(x^{1/n}\right)}{\overline{F}(x^{1/n})}\left(\overline{F}(x^{1/n})\right)^{n} \\ &\geq C_n x^{\gamma-1}e^{-n\alpha x^{\beta/n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_n = \left(\frac{A}{1+A}\right)^{n-1} AB^n$. Therefore, the Krein quantity for g_n is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}[g_n] &= \int_0^{\infty}\frac{-\log g_n(x^2)}{1+x^2}dx = \int_0^{x_0^n}\frac{-\log g_n(x^2)}{1+x^2}dx + \int_{x_0^n}^{\infty}\frac{-\log g_n(x^2)}{1+x^2}dx \\ &\leq \left(-\log g_n(x_0^{2n})\right)\int_0^{x_0^n}\frac{1}{1+x^2}dx + \int_{x_0^n}^{\infty}\frac{-\log g_n(x^2)}{1+x^2}dx < \infty \ \text{ if } n > 2\beta. \end{aligned}$$

This in turn implies that Y_n is M-indet for $n > 2\beta$ (see, e.g., [10], Theorem 3). □

10. Generalized gamma distributions. Part (d)

Let us see how the general result from Section 9 can be used to establish the moment indeterminacy of products of independent copies of a random variable $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, 1)$. Here $\gamma = 1$ and the density is $f(x) = ce^{-\alpha x^{\beta}},\ x \geq 0$.

We claim that for $n > 2\beta$, the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ is M-indet. To see this, note that $f(x)/\overline{F}(x) \approx \alpha\beta x^{\beta-1}$ and $\overline{F}(x) \approx [c/(\alpha\beta)]x^{1-\beta}e^{-\alpha x^{\beta}}$ as $x \to \infty$. Then the density f satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 5 and hence Y_n is M-indet if $n > 2\beta$.

For example, if $\xi \sim Exp(1)$, then, as mentioned before, the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ is M-indet for $n \geq 3$.

If ξ has the half-normal distribution, its density is $f(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi}e^{-x^2/2},\ x \geq 0$, then $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ is M-indet for $n \geq 5$ (recall from Section 5 that Y_n is M-det for $n \leq 4$). By words: The product of two, three or four half-normal random variables is M-det, while the product of five or more such variables is M-indet.

In summary, we have the following result about $GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with $\gamma = 1$.

Lemma 5 *Let $n \geq 2$, $X_n = \xi^n$ and $Y_n = \xi_1\cdots\xi_n$, where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent copies of $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, 1)$. Then the power X_n is M-det iff the product Y_n is M-det and this is true iff $n \leq 2\beta$.*

We now consider the general case $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 6 *Let $n \geq 2$, $X_n = \xi^n$ and $Y_n = \xi_1\cdots\xi_n$, where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent copies of $\xi \sim GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then X_n is M-det iff Y_n is M-det and this is true*

iff $n \le 2\beta$. In other words, both X_n and Y_n have the same moment determinacy property.

Proof Define $\eta = \xi^\gamma$, $\eta_i = \xi_i^\gamma$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $X_n^* = \eta^n = (\xi^n)^\gamma = X_n^\gamma$ and $Y_n^* = \eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_n = (\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n)^\gamma = Y_n^\gamma$. Since $\eta \sim GG(\alpha, \beta/\gamma, 1)$, we have, by Lemma 5, X_n^* is M-det iff Y_n^* is M-det iff $n \le 2\beta/\gamma$. Next, note that for each $x > 0$, we have $\mathbf{P}[X_n^* > x] = \mathbf{P}[X_n > x^{1/\gamma}]$ and $\mathbf{P}[Y_n^* > x] = \mathbf{P}[Y_n > x^{1/\gamma}]$. This implies that any distributional property shared by X_n^* and Y_n^* can be transferred to a similar property shared by X_n and Y_n, and vice versa. Therefore, X_n is M-det iff Y_n is M-det iff $n \le 2\beta$, because X_n is M-det iff $n \le 2\beta$ (see, e.g., [18]). □

11. Half-logistic distribution

Some of the above results and illustrations involve the generalized gamma distribution $GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. It is useful to have a moment determinacy characterization for powers and products based on non-GG distributions. Here is an example based on the half-logistic distribution, which clearly is not in the class GG.

Statement *We say that the random variable ξ has the half-logistic distribution if its density is*

$$f(x) = \frac{2e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2}, \quad x \ge 0.$$

The power $X_n = \xi^n$ and the product $Y_n = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n$ are defined as above. Then X_n is M-det iff Y_n is M-det and this is true iff $n \le 2$. This means that for each n, the two random variables X_n and Y_n share the same moment determinacy property.

Proof (i) The claim that X_n is M-det iff $n \le 2$ follows from results in [11]. Actually, in [11] it is proved that for any real $s > 0$, the power ξ^s is M-det iff $s \le 2$. Let us give here an alternative proof. The density h_s of ξ^s is

$$h_s(z) = \frac{2}{s} z^{1/s-1} \frac{e^{-z^{1/s}}}{(1+e^{-z^{1/s}})^2}, \ z \ge 0.$$

Using the inequality: $\frac{1}{4} \le (1+e^{-x})^{-2} \le 1$ for $x \ge 0$, we find two-sided bounds for the moments of ξ^s:

$$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(ks+1) \le \mathbf{E}[(\xi^s)^k] \le \int_0^\infty \frac{2}{s} z^{k+1/s-1} e^{-z^{1/s}} dz = 2\Gamma(ks+1).$$

Therefore the growth rate of the moments of ξ^s is

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[(\xi^s)^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[(\xi^s)^k]} \le 4 \cdot \frac{\Gamma((k+1)s+1)}{\Gamma(ks+1)} \approx 4s^s(k+1)^s \ \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

By Theorem 1, this implies that ξ^s is M-det for $s \le 2$. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}[(\xi^s)^{k+1}]}{\mathbf{E}[(\xi^s)^k]} \ge \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\Gamma((k+1)s+1)}{\Gamma(ks+1)} \approx \frac{1}{4} s^s(k+1)^s \ \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

The moment condition in Theorem 4 is satisfied if $s > 2$. It remains now to check the validity of condition (2) for the density h_s. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{h_s}(z) := -\frac{z h_s'(z)}{h_s(z)} \\ = \;& 1 - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s} z^{1/s} - \frac{2}{s}\, z^{1/s} \frac{e^{-z^{1/s}}}{1 + e^{-z^{1/s}}} \quad \nearrow \infty \text{ ultimately as } z \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $s > 2$, ξ^s is M-indet.
(ii) It remains to prove that Y_n is M-det iff $n \leq 2$.
(Sufficiency) As in part (i), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(k+1) \leq \mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = 2\Gamma(k+1).$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{E}[\xi^{k+1}]/\mathbf{E}[\xi^k] = \mathcal{O}(k+1)$ as $k \to \infty$. By Theorem 2, we conclude that Y_n is M-det if $n \leq 2$.
(Necessity) Note that $\overline{F}(x) = \mathbf{P}[\xi > x] = 2e^{-x}/(1+e^{-x}) \geq e^{-x}, \quad x \geq 0$, and $f(x)/\overline{F}(x) = 1/(1+e^{-x}) \geq 1/2, \; x \geq 0$. Therefore, taking $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 5, we conclude that Y_n is M-indet if $n > 2$. Let us express this conclusion by words: The product of three or more half-logistic random variables is M-indet. □

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Editors and the Referees for their valuable suggestions which helped us shorten the original manuscript and improve the presentation. We thank also Prof. M.C. Jones (Open University, UK) for showing interest in our work and making useful comments.

This paper was basically completed during the visit of JS to Academia Sinica, Taipei, December 2012 – January 2013. The support and the hospitality provided by the Institute of Statistical Science are greatly appreciated.

The work of GDL was partly supported by the National Science Council of ROC (Taiwan) under Grant NSC 102-2118-M-001-008-MY2, and that of JS by the Emeritus Fellowship provided by the Leverhulme Trust (UK).

References

[1] Berg, C.: The cube of a normal distribution is indeterminate. Ann. Probab. **16**, 910–913 (1988)

[2] Berg, C.: On powers of Stieltjes moment sequences. I. J. Theoret. Probab. **18**, 871–889 (2005)

[3] Carmona, R., Molchanov, S.A.: Parabolic Anderson Problem and Intermittency. Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. **108 (518)** (1994)

[4] DasGupta, A.: On the determinacy of powers, products and convolutions. Technical Report #97–21, University of California, San Diego (1997) Web. http://www.stat.purdue.edu.research/technical_reports/pdfs/1997/tr97

[5] De Abreu, G.T.F.: On the moment-determinance and random mixture of Nakagami-m variates. IEEE Trans. on Communications **58**, 2561–2575 (2010)

[6] Foss, S., Korshunov, D., Zachary, S.: An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions. 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2013)

[7] Galambos, J., Simonelli, I.: Products of Random Variables. Applications to Problems of Physics and to Arithmetical Functions. Marcel Dekker, New York (2004)

[8] Glasser, L., Kohl, K.T., Koutschan, C., Moll, V.H., Straub, A.: The integrals in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik. Part 22: Bessel-K functions. SCIENTIA Series A: Mathematical Sciences **22**, 129–151 (2012)

[9] Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products. 7th edn. Jeffrey, A. and Zwillinger, D. (eds.) Academic Press, London (2007)

[10] Lin, G.D.: On the moment problems. Statist. Probab. Lett. **35**, 85–90 (1997). Erratum: ibid **50**, 205 (2000)

[11] Lin, G.D., Huang, J.S.: The cube of a logistic distribution is indeterminate. Austral. J. Statist. **39**, 247–252 (1997)

[12] Lin, G.D., Stoyanov, J.: The logarithmic skew-normal distributions are moment-indeterminate. J. Appl. Probab. **46**, 909–916 (2009)

[13] Malham, S.J.A.: An Introduction to Asymptotic Analysis. Lecture Notes, Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, UK (2005) Website http://www.ma.hw.ac.uk/∼simonm/ae.pdf

[14] Malik, H.J.: Exact distribution of the product of independent generalized gamma variables with the same shape parameter. Ann. Math. Statist. **39**, 1751–1752 (1968)

[15] Malik, H.J., Trudel, R.: Probability density function of the product and quotient of two correlated exponential random variables. Canad. Math. Bull. **29**, 413–418 (1986)

[16] Ostovska, S., Stoyanov, J.: A new proof that the product of three or more exponential random variables is moment-indeterminate. Statist. Probab. Lett. **80**, 792–796 (2010)

[17] Pakes, A.G.: Remarks on converse Carleman and Krein criteria for the classical moment problem. J. Austral. Math. Soc. **71**, 81–104 (2001)

[18] Pakes, A.G., Khattree, R.: Length-biasing, characterizations of laws and the moment problem. Austral. J. Statist. **34**, 307–322 (1992)

[19] Pedersen, H.L.: On Krein's theorem for indeterminacy of the classical moment problem. J. Approx. Theory **95**, 90–100 (1998)

[20] Penson, K.A., Blasiak, P., Duchamp, G.H.E., Horzela, A., Solomon, A.I.: On certain non-unique solutions of the Stieltjes moment problem. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **12**, 295–306 (2010)

[21] Slud, E.V.: The moment problem for polynomial forms in normal random variables, Ann. Probab. **21**, 2200-2214 (1993)

[22] Stoyanov, J.: Krein condition in probabilistic moment problems. Bernoulli **6**, 939–949 (2000)

[23] Stoyanov, J.M.: Counterexamples in Probability. 3rd edn. Dover Publications, New York (2013)

[24] Stoyanov, J., Lin, G.D.: Hardy's condition in the moment problem for probability distributions. Theory Probab. Appl. **57**, 811–820 (2012) (SIAM edition: **57**, 699–708 (2013))

[25] Stoyanov, J., Lin, G.D., DasGupta, A.: Hamburger moment problem for powers and products of random variables. J. Statist. Plann. Inference **154**, 166–177 (2014)

[26] Whittaker, E.T., Watson, G.N.: A Course of Modern Analysis. 4th edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927)